



Hi, Shea. I got empty results again. I checked the soil shapefile and the results after running parameterizer of land_cover and soil looks normal: have field of CN and Cover, no zero data, the table of soil outputs looks good. I have no idea where the problem happens.

Re:Empty results again Posted by isburns - 2009/06/12 18:51

I need more information. Are the empty results from the same discretization that you've gotten results from before? What does the screenshot look like when you run the model from the command line?

shea

Re:Empty results again Posted by hchen - 2009/06/12 19:35

This is another watershed. The preview one has been run successfully. And, the DOS window is as following

C:Program FilesUSDA-ARS SWRCAGWA2workspaceCGC3CGC3SWATsimulationsCGC3A>s wat2003 mod.exe

SWAT2005

Soil & Water Assessment Tool

PC Version

Program reading from file.cio . . . executing

forrtl: severe (161): Program Exception - array bounds exceeded

Image PC Routine Line Source

swat2003 mod.exe 00536144 READSOL 110 readsol.f swat2003 mod.exe 0053D1B6 READSUB 307 readsub.f swat2003 mod.exe 00515C45 READFIG 161 readfig.f swat2003 mod.exe 004B4C7D MAIN 101 main.f swat2003 mod.exe 006986E9 Unknown Unknown Unknown swat2003 mod.exe 0067DAF9 Unknown Unknown Unknown

kernel32.dll 7C816FE7 Unknown Unknown Unknown

Re:Empty results again Posted by isburns - 2009/06/12 19:40

AGWA Support - AGWA - The Automated Geospatial Watershed Assessment Tool

Generated: 29 June, 2010, 00:11

The problem is still with your soils. Does it run for SWAT 2000 or does that crash too? Are you using the same soils shapefile for the parameterization?

shea

Re:Empty results again Posted by hchen - 2009/06/12 19:49

I run SWAT 2000 too, and got same followed

C:Program FilesUSDA-ARS SWRCAGWA2workspaceCGC3CGC3SWATsimulationsCGC3A>s wat2003 mod.exe

SWAT2005

Soil & Water Assessment Tool

PC Version

Program reading from file.cio . . . executing

forrtl: severe (161): Program Exception - array bounds exceeded

PC **Image** Routine Source Line

swat2003 mod.exe 00536144 READSOL 110 readsol.f swat2003 mod.exe 0053D1B6 READSUB 307 readsub.f swat2003 mod.exe 00515C45 READFIG 161 readfig.f swat2003 mod.exe 004B4C7D MAIN 101 main.f swat2003 mod.exe 006986E9 Unknown Unknown Unknown swat2003 mod.exe 0067DAF9 Unknown Unknown Unknown

kernel32.dll 7C816FE7 Unknown Unknown Unknown

Re:Empty results again Posted by hchen - 2009/06/12 19:57

Also, I used same soil shape files and soil database. Actually, this watershed is part of Colorado-GrandCanopy Watershed, and the preview one, which was run successfully, is another part from Colorado-GrandGrandCanopy Watershed. So, I use combined soil shapefile, and the soil database which imported soil tabular data from all of the three states for both of them.

Re:Empty results again Posted by hchen - 2009/06/12 20:01

C:Program FilesUSDA-ARS SWRCAGWA2workspaceCGC3CGC3SWATsimulationsCGC3 WAT2000

SWAT2000

Generated: 29 June, 2010, 00:11

Soil & Water Assessment Tool Sorry, I the following is from SWAT2000.

PC Version

Program reading from file.cio . . . executing

forrtl: severe (161): Program Exception - array bounds exceeded **Image** PC Routine Line Source swat2000.exe 004EE4A0 READSOL 148 readsol.f 004F2C8A READSUB swat2000.exe 228 readsub.f swat2000.exe 004D832D READINPT 70 readinpt.f 0048FD5D MAIN swat2000.exe 83 main.f swat2000.exe 005DF8A9 Unknown Unknown Unknown

swat2000.exe 005D2154 Unknown Unknown Unknown kernel32.dll 7C816FE7 Unknown Unknown Unknown

Re:Empty results again Posted by isburns - 2009/06/27 22:25

Offline, we determined that the problem in this particular case was caused by water being the dominant soil type of two subwatersheds. This can occur when parameterizing discretizations around large bodies of water, in this case Lake Powell.

If you run the model from the command line and receive an error message similar to the ones above, namely if they include READSOL, than there is a problem with the soils parameterization. In this particular case, we looked at the .SOL files for the simulation and noticed two subwatersheds had -99 values throughout the file. We tracked the subbasin numbers at the top of the problematic .SOL files back to associated id field in the subwatershed attribute table and determined the problematic soil id. To workaround the problem caused by the soil id representing water, we changed the soil id value to one of the neighboring subwatershed's soil id.

Snea	a a			